community? The answer is 'no'. Is there not a statement in the petition that he is the only School Final?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I do not know.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—Will the Government find its way to give a place to him in keeping with the policy of giving preference to unrepresented communities?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The only reply I can give is that the Government will stick to their declared policy.

List of Chief Court Vakils at Ernakulam and Trichur.

687. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to place on the table a list of Chief Court Vakils practising at Ernakulam and Trichur with the dates of their enrolment in the Chief Court?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—A list is appended. (*Appendix VI.*)

Maximum pay to acting incumbents—precedents for.

688. Mr. P. Krishna Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) are there precedents for allowing the maximum pay of the post to an acting incumbent except in cases where the appointee is a practising lawyer and the post to which he is appointed does not allow practice at the bar;

(2) if there are, will the Government be pleased to state the instances during the last three years?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(1) Yes.

(2) Please see the list appended. It refers to Gazetted Officers only. (*Appendix VII.*)

Memorial submitted to the Diwan by the Kunnamkulam people.

689. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to inform:—

(a) what were the requests contained in the Memorial submitted to the Diwan, when he first visited Kunnamkulam;

(b) whether any of them have been sanctioned and if so, what are they;

(c) whether the other requests are still under the consideration of the Government;

(d) whether the orders passed by the Government, if any, with reference to the requests contained in the Memorial be printed and placed on the table?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) The requests are, (1) to construct a Railway line from Trichur to Kunnamkulam, (2)

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

to construct a canal connecting the town with the Connoly canal, (3) to open a Munsiff's Court, (4) to remove the restriction placed on laterite quarrying, (5) to amend the Tenancy Regulation, (6 to widen the Nadupanthy, (7) opening of 2 or 3 new roads, (8) to dig a few new tanks, (9) to drop the constitution of a Municipality in the place.

(b) Yes, items 4, 6, 7, 9 of the above.

(c) Of the remaining requests, item 5, *viz.*, amendment of the Tenancy Regulation is engaging the attention of the Government. As regards request No. 8, from a sanitary point of view, Government have proposed to dig a well fitted with pump and bathing facilities. The rest have been refused.

(d) Copies of the orders are appended. (*Appendix VIII*).

Charges against Mr. Sreenivasa Ayyar—Inspector of Police.

690. Mr. George Chakyamuri.—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) when was Mr. Sreenivasa Ayyar (Inspector of Police under suspension) placed in charge of the stations as Inspector of Police after his entertainment in the Department ?

(b) after he was put in charge of the Stations, how many petitions of torture, high handedness, intimidation, etc., have been received by (1) the Diwan, and

(2) by the Commissioner of Police and how many criminal cases up to now have been filed in the various courts of the State against him ;

(c) will the Government be pleased to place on the table copies of all such complaints against the Inspector Mr. Sreenivasa Ayyar ?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) Edavam 1105.

(b) (1) One by the Diwan and

(2) One by the Commissioner of Police. There were two criminal cases against him.

(c) Copy of the petition to the Diwan is placed on the table. (*Appendix IX*). The petition received by the Commissioner of Police has been filed as an exhibit in a case before the Additional Special First Class Magistrate, and hence is not available. The complaints in criminal cases are under trial and therefore no copies of them could be had.

Caste-war statement of appointments.

691. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to state in print a consolidated statement of the total number of appointments made by each of the Heads of Departments with their names and designations in each of the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

7 ,, S. K. Subramania Ayyar—acting Director of Public Instruction
8 ,, K. M. Subramania Ayyar—acting 1st grade District Judge
9 ,, M. P. Cherian—acting 2nd grade District Judge
10 ,, P. J. George—acting District Magistrate

APPENDIX VIII.

(*Vide Answers to Question No. 689*)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIWAN OF COCHIN.

Public Works Department.

Read (1) letters, dated 25th April 1929, 3rd November 1929 and 12th March 1931, from Mr. K. T. Mathew, Kunnamkulam, regarding the opening of canal communication to Kunnamkulam.

(2) Correspondence ending with the Chief Engineer's report, dated 4th April 1930, C. No. 3471/1105.

And (3) letter, dated 13th June 1931, R. Dis. 1189/30, from the Agent to the Governor-General.

Order Dis. 56/R/1106, dated 23rd June 1931.

The Agent to the Governor-General writes to say that the Madras Government are not prepared to spend money on such a scheme as the opening of the canal and that, in their opinion, the danger of introducing brackish water to the detriment to paddy lands in the neighbourhood makes the project definitely inadmissible. In these circumstances, the question of the canal is dropped.

(True extract)

(Sd.)

Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The Chief Engineer.

Mr. K. T. Mathew.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIWAN OF COCHIN.

Local and Legislative Department.

Read memorial, dated 5th September 1926, submitted to the Diwan by the inhabitants of Kunnamkulam.

(2) Letter R. O. C. No. 410/1106 dated 30th January 1931, from the Registrar of the Chief Court.

Order C. No. 2628/1106, dated 13th February 1931.

From the statistics of suits filed in the last 3 years from the Desoms within a radius of 7 miles from Kunnamkulam it does not appear that there will be sufficient work for a separate Munsiff at Kunnamkulam and if these desoms are taken away from the jurisdiction of the Vadakkancheri Munsiff there will not be sufficient work for the Vadakkancheri Munsiff either. The Diwan therefore regrets that the request of the Memorialists to establish a Munsiff's Court at Kunnamkulam cannot be granted.

(True extract)

(Sd).

Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The memorialists.

,, Judges of the Chief Court (with covering letter).

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIWAN OF COCHIN.
Development Department.

Read letter S. C. No. 739/1106, from the Diwan Peishkar.

Order C. No. 2195/1106, dated 21st March 1931.

The Chief Medical and Sanitary Officer may make the necessary provision for the wideningof the Nadupanthy in Kunnamkulam in his budget proposals for 1107.

In the meanwhile the Chief Engineer is requested to go into the question and report if the Diwan Peishkar's proposal would satisfy the needs of the locality.

(True extract)

(Sd).
Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The Chief Medical and Sanitary Officer (with copy.)
" Chief Engineer (with copy and sketch, vide C. 1413/04, dated 31st January 1929).
" Diwan Peishkar.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIWAN OF COCHIN
Revenue Department
(Land Revenue.)

Read (1) the memorial submitted to the Diwan by the inhabitants of Kunnamkulam.

(2) Letter, dated 25th January 1931, S. C. 790/1106, from the Diwan Peishkar.

And (3) Sarvadhikariakar's communication, A. No. 1027 of 1106, dated 21st February 1931.

Order C. 1425/1106 dated 3rd March 1931.

One of the requests contained in the memorial read as 1st paper above is for the removal of the rules requiring sanction for laterite quarrying. Although it has been ordered in Diwan's Proceedings, dated 25th April 1930, Dis. 139/R/1105, that, so far as applications from tenants are concerned, the existing practice of obtaining the sanction of the Jenmies should continue the Diwan on further consideration thinks that it is unnecessary for Government to intervene between two private persons in a matter like this. Whether or not a tenant obtains the permission of his jenmi before quarrying is purely a question of private rights with which Government have no concern. When giving permission to a tenant to quarry, however, it should be made clear that the permission granted does not exempt the tenant from obtaining the permission of his jenmi also, should such be necessary.

2. The Diwan Peishkar is requested to submit for approval a draft correction slip to Article 112 of the Land Revenue Manual embodying the necessary changes.

(True extract)

(Sd.)
Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The Diwan Peishkar.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIWAN OF COCHIN

Development Department.

Water supply—Kunnamkulam—construction of well with pipe, etc., for bathing purposes.

Read letter, dated 18th December 1930, from Mr. K. T. Mathew, B. A, and B. L., M. L. C., regarding a tank in the Western Bazaar.

(2) C. No. 1986/1106, dated 31st July 1931, from the Chief Engineer.

(3) Petition, dated 17th Chingam 1107, from Mr. P. P. Verghese and others objecting to the construction of a well with pipe, etc., for bathing purposes in the site now selected by the Government.

And (4) C. 542/1107, dated 10th November 1931, from the Chief Medical and Sanitary Officer.

Order P. 544/1106, dated 14th November 1931.

The petitioners are informed that the Government are considering the question of constructing a well with pipe, etc., for bathing purposes in a central position and that their request for a tank in the western bazaar cannot be sanctioned.

The question of providing for the construction of the well with pipe, etc., in the budget of 1108 will be considered at the time of framing the budget. The Chief Medical and Sanitary Officer is requested to provide Rs. 6,450 in his budget proposals for 1108.

(True extract)

(Sd.)
Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The petitioners
,, Chief Medical and Sanitary Officer
,, Chief Engineer
,, Comptroller of Accounts.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIWAN OF COCHIN

Development Department.

READ again (1) Diwan's Notification, dated 9th October 1930, Development Department, published on pages 81 and 82, Part I of the Cochin Government Gazette, dated 25th Kanni 1106 (11th October 1930), inviting objections in connection with the constitution of the Kunnamkulam and Irinjalakkuda towns into Municipalities.

(2) Representations from the inhabitants of Kunnamkulam and Irinjalakkuda.

(3) Letter, dated 9th March 1931, S. C. No. 922 of 1105, from the Diwan Peishkar.

And (4) Sarvadhikariakar's communication A. No. 77/1106, dated 20th March 1931.

Order C. No. 255/1106, dated 27th March 1931.

Objections have been received from the inhabitants of Kunnamkulam and Irinjalakkuda to the constitution of those towns as Municipalities. Similar objections were made to the Diwan, when he visited Kunnamkulam recently. The Diwan Peishkar who was asked to ascertain the opinion of the people of Irinjalakkuda in the matter of constituting a Municipality there has reported that the major portion of the population are not in favour of it. Since in both these towns the majority of the residents are against the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

proposals Government are pleased to order that the question of constituting the towns of Kunnamkulam and Irinjalakkuda into Municipalities will be dropped for the present.

(True extract)

(Sd.)
Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The Diwan Peishkar.
,, Chief Medical and Sanitary Officer.
,, Gazette.
,, Press Room.
Copy of the Sarvadhikariakar.

APPENDIX IX.

(*Vide Answer to Question No. 690.*)

Petition, dated 2nd December 1931, from the labourers of the Imperial Coir Works Palliviruthi.

We are labourers in Imperial Coir Works Palliviruthi, owned by Mr. N. V. Xavier and we are working in yarn spinning.

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Palliviruthi, Cochin Cusba, Mr Sreenivasa Ayyar, is living close by the factory. The noise produced by the working in the factory seems to have provoked the Sub-Inspector and he called the proprietor Mr. Xavier and told him that he was annoyed at the noise produced in the factory and that he should stop the work. Mr. Xavier was however unwilling to stop it completely but he told us to go and apologise to the Sub-Inspector and we, labourers, men and women 47 in number went to the Sub-Inspector to ask him permission to continue our work. But he insulted the women and the Station clerk Mr. Kesava Menon at the instigation of the Sub-Inspector belaboured one of us, Velayudhan.

We had sent a petition to you, Sir, regarding this matter on 24th Vrischigam 1106 and had sent also a telegram on 15th December for the same purpose, but we received no reply. This petition is submitted as a reminder of the above petition. We beg to add that the factory is not situated in the jurisdiction of the Cochin Cusba Station.

We respectfully request that the Diwan may be pleased to issue immediate orders that the Sub-Inspector should not raise any objection to the working of the factory and that he should not in any way interfere with us hereafter.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly